

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 * * *

8 JOSEPH VALDEZ, individually and
9 on behalf of all others similarly situated,)

10 Plaintiffs,)

11 vs.)

12 COX COMMUNICATIONS LAS
13 VEGAS, INC., VIDEO INTERNET
14 PHONE INSTALLS, INC., QUALITY
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., SIERRA
COMMUNICATIONS, CO.,)

15 Defendants.)
16


2:09-CV-01797-PMP-RJJ

ORDER

17 The Court having read and considered a Motion to Intervene by Gregory
18 Landers for Purpose of Appealing the court's Order of April 10, 2012 and its Prior
19 Orders (Doc. #365) filed May 10, 2012, Defendants' Joint Response in Opposition
20 thereto (Doc. #370) filed May 29, 2012 and Lander's Reply (Doc. #373) filed June 13,
21 2012, and for the reasons set forth in Defendants' Joint Response (Doc. #370),

22 **IT IS ORDERED** that Gregory Landers' Motion to Intervene (Doc. #365) is
23 **DENIED.**

24 DATED: June 20, 2012.

25 
26

PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge